



CASE-BASED INFLUENCE IN CONFLICT MANAGEMENT

Robert Axelrod
ARTIS RESEARCH & RISK MODELING

10/31/2014
Final Report

DISTRIBUTION A: Distribution approved for public release.

Air Force Research Laboratory
AF Office Of Scientific Research (AFOSR)/ RTC
Arlington, Virginia 22203
Air Force Materiel Command

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

 Form Approved
 OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Service Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 30/09/2014	2. REPORT TYPE Final	3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 15/09/2010 - 14/09/2014		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Case-based Influence in Conflict Management		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER FA9550-10-1-0373		
		5b. GRANT NUMBER		
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Dr. Robert Axelrod- PI Dr. Richard Davis- PD		5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
		5e. TASK NUMBER		
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) ARTIS Research & Risk Modeling ARTIS 5741 Canyon Ridge North Cave Creek, AZ 85331-9318				
8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER				
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) USAF, AFRL AF Office of Scientific Research 875 N. Randolph St., Room 3112 Arlington, VA 22203				
10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) USAF, AFRL				
11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)				
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Distribution A- approved for public release				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None				
14. ABSTRACT The project proceeded in three phases. The first phase analyzed how historical analogies are used to make sense of novel events, comparing historical analogies invoked in three events in newspapers from five countries. Case-based reasoning was found to be very common, with almost one historical analogy per article (963/1061). The second phase of this project was inspired by a desire of CyberCom to study how historical analogies might be relevant to cyber conflict. The result was a case-by-case analysis of 35 different analogies with the lessons for the understanding of potential cyber conflict. Analogies such as these can serve several purposes: to motivate (by fear or inspiration), to demonstrate what is possible, to provide examples from the past of things to avoid, and to illuminate particular features of past events that might be worth thinking about in preparation for cyber conflict. The third phase of the project was motivated by the fact that it took 15 years, from 1945 to 1960, to understand the strategic implications of nuclear technology. The goal was to help avoid a similar delay in understanding the strategic implications of cyber technology. The result was a mathematical model for the strategic analysis of the timing of cyber conflict that quickly received attention from over 30 countries.				
15. SUBJECT TERMS cyber conflict, case-based reasoning, case-based influence				
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: a. REPORT U		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 3	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Richard D. Davis
b. ABSTRACT U		19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 480-221-6120		

Axelrod, Final Report and Publications

Final Report

The first phase of this project analyzed how historical analogies are used in the media to make sense of novel events. This work was done by the PI and his post-doctorial fellow, Larissa Forster. While earlier work by others focused on single case studies, this study was the first quantitative analysis comparing historical analogies invoked in three events in newspapers from five countries (Axelrod and Forster, 2012). With very high intercoder reliability we found 881 invocations of historical analogies, almost one per article. We found an interesting contrast between the roles of historical analogies in foreign policy decision making vs. newspaper articles. When the task is advocacy for policy choice, a compelling historical analogy will be one in which the causal mechanisms are as similar as possible to the current situation so that similar actions are likely to lead to similar results. Instead, newspapers spend more time at the early stages of sense-making to help the audience understand just a few features of the current situation. Newspapers thus offer a much broader range of historical analogies without much regard to maximizing similarity.

The second phase of this project involved the study of historical analogies that might be relevant to cyber conflict. The work was done as part of a project on analogies to cyber conflict that was personally sponsored by General Keith Alexander when he was head of CyberCom. The PI's report provided a repertory of 35 analogies that can be relevant to issues related to cyber conflict. Analogies such as these can serve several purposes: to motivate (by fear or inspiration), to demonstrate what is possible, to provide examples from the past of things to avoid, and to illuminate particular features of past events that might be worth thinking about in preparation for cyber conflict. The report described the implications of each analogy. These implications can be thought of as lessons from the past that can be useful once again, despite important changes in technology, doctrine, organization and political context. The items were organized in sections on historical analogies from before, during and after World War II, and a section on functional analogies such as those inspired by biology. The report included an appendix on a tactic that has been used by the Chinese that is quite distinct from Western conceptions of deterrence, namely the false denial of retaliatory intent. The report was briefed to Gen. Keith Alexander, and published in a book of essays on cyber analogies that he commissioned (Axelrod, 2014).

The third phase of the project developed a mathematical model of the timing of cyber conflict. It took 15 years, from 1945 to 1960, to understand the strategic implications of nuclear technology. The primary motivation of this phase of the work was to help avoid a similar delay in understanding the strategic implications of cyber technology. The model was inspired by the historical analogy of how the British exploited their control of German agents in World War II. In that case they waited for a very high stakes situation to exploit their resource. Then they used their resource to mislead the enemy about the location of the forthcoming cross-channel at Normandy. The mathematical model was published as "The Timing of Cyber Conflict," co-authored by PI with his

post-doctoral fellow, Rumen Iliev (Axelrod and Iliev, 2014). This work has already received widespread attention including *Science*, *Nature*, *ArsTechnica*, and BBC World News Service. For example, *Science* called it “a solid logical foundation for fresh thinking in the cyber security field.”

<http://news.sciencemag.org/technology/2014/01/cyberwar-surprise-attacks-get-mathematical-treatment>. The work has also been reviewed in Chinese and Russian media:

http://news.china.com.cn/live/2014-01/14/content_24328183.htm
http://news.gxtv.cn/201401/news_1239394151.html
<http://www.vesti.ru/doc.html?id=1195627>
<http://www.securitylab.ru/news/448886.php>

The PI has a pending AFOSR proposal to extend the work on cyber conflict. The proposal includes (a) building game theoretic and related types of models to take into account strategic interactions between various state (and non-state) actors, (b) making more precise the distinctions and concepts needed to enhance the sophistication of the analysis, and (c) specifying metrics for tracking key variables in the analysis. The goal is to promote understanding of this new domain of conflict in order to mitigate the damage it can inflict, and harness the capabilities it can provide.

Publications

Axelrod, Robert, and Larissa Forster. "How Historical Analogies in Newspapers of Five Countries Make Sense of Major Events: 9/11, Mumbai and Tahrir Square." *APSA 2012 Annual Meeting Paper*. 2012.

Axelrod, Robert, “A Repertory of Cyber Analogies,” in Emily O. Goldman and John Arquilla, eds., *Cyber Analogies* (Monterey, CA: Dept. of Defense Information Operations), 2014.

Axelrod, Robert and Rumen Iliev, “Timing of Cyber Conflict,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, January 28, 2014, vol. 111, no. 4, pp. 1298-1303.